UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,513	03/04/2005	Torsten Solf	PHDE020203US	8935
	7590 08/21/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		BITAR, NANCY		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/526,513	SOLF ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NANCY BITAR	2624		

	NANCY BITAR	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original.	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIITH (a) and the appropriate of the fee.	e extension fee ate extension; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL		o or the infarrejection, c	ion ii amory mod,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be as a final rejection of the rejection of the final rejection of the r	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 11 and 13. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.12 and 14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Jingge Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Strommer does not teach " controlling movement of an imaging system based on the imaging parameters" and the physician may move the surgical tool in Strommer without any regards for the main computer and any movement by the physicians will cause the main computer to perform additional modification to images. Strommer et al. in comibnation with Gueziec, teaches the physicians controls the movement of the surgical tool and modify the images with respect to the location and orientation (paragraph [0154]) therefore the movemenet of the image system is based upon the location and orientation which are the imaging parameter. Applicant argues that Strommer does not teache the feasible location instead teaches only one single location. Strommer teaches "The location and orientation of each of the two-dimensional images are directly determined from the location and orientation of the transducer. "paragraph[0013] also Strommer teaches determining succesive location which is interpreted as feasible location see aslo paragraph [0139] and paragraph [0283]. Moreover feasible location by definition is defined as possible location therefore i a possible location can be either a single location or multiple locations.